App. F. IX-4

1928-29 (R.A)

File No. 47-28

Serial Nos. 1 and 2.

Previous References

R.A 40-18 Sl: 1, B June 1917
" 23-29 Sls: 1-2 & 7-8, B Jan 7. 1930.
" —"— 3-6, B Nov 7. 1929.

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

P211-E **Part B, for** May 1931.

SUBJECT.

Return of three members to the Representative Assembly instead of two as present from the Chamarajnagar Taluk

---

To

The D. C. Hyderabad No. Rel 44/R.A dated 4.5.1928.

States that the adequacy of the representation allowed to the various local units and special interests will be examined when distributing the Assembly seats and that the resolution of the District Board will be considered in that connection

G Thimmappa 17
28/17/5/31

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 47 of 1928.

Serial No. 4

From the Deputy Commissioner Mysore &c

Letter No: D 4-410/27-28 D(6) 7.7.28

States that the Dt Board of Mysore has forwarded a copy of resolution passed at the Conference held in 1927 for necessary action & he-commends that 3 Members may be returned to the RA instead of two at present from the Chamarajanagar Taluk that the Amildar also reports that in view of the extensive area & dense population of the Taluk it is desirable to increase the number of Members to be returned to 3 that the Sub Dy Officer Kanjangud Concurs with the opinion of the Amildar & recommends in these circumstances & sanction the election of one Member to the RA from the Chamarajanagar Taluk

1) Submitted.

2) Chamarajanagar Rural constituency returns 2 Members to the RA — Now. And one Member is returned from Chamarajanagar Urban Constituency. Thus 3 Members are now returned from Chamarajanagar Taluk

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

R.A. File No. 47 of 1928.

Serial No.

The Number of Members that are now returned to the Assembly is 165 - and this Number is 15 more than the Minimum prescribed under Section 2 (3) of the Mysore Representative Assembly Regulation

3) The total Strength of the Assembly is now 260, and power is reserved for Government to increase the Number up to a Maximum of 275 for the purpose of removing inequalities, if any, of representation for the representation of Constituencies that may develop in course of time?

4) The Number of Members returned that was being returned to the Assembly from the Chamarajnagar Taluk beyond the Reforms was only 2 - besides a Member from Chamarajnagar Municipality.

7 Flag B

5) Submitted for orders.

6) If Chamarajnagar is to be given the concession asked for the remaining talukas will follow suit.

8p 11/7.

7) Seen

8) Just now

12/7

556 12/7

9) What is the population claimed? Which talukas and which 2 representatives? What is the basis of differentiation?

13/7/28

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Mr. J.H.A. 12/7

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—21-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. | RA File No. 47 of 1928.

Serial No.

10 Submitted.

(1) The grounds urged are extensive area and dense population.

(2) 17 taluks viz Bangalore, Kolar Tumkur, Mysore, Hunsur, Seringapatam Mandya, Hassan, Manjarabad, Chamarajapatna, Tirthahalli, Shimoga, Nagar, Sorab, Chikmagalur, Kadur & Koppa, return 3 Members each & the rest only 2.

(3) The basis of differentiation is (a) land revenue demand, ~~ground of~~ area, population &c. (Vide para 2 of G.O. No: G 2827-67/RA 40-18-1 dt 20th June 1919 Vide Flag B.)

Vide pages 70 & 72 of the RA and L.C Manual. Vol II

Flag B.

11. The 18 taluks which were returning 3 Members by RA prior to the introduction of Reforms have been, with the exception of Bowring & Ist Taluks, allowed to enjoy that privilege, even now.

Vide para 12 of G.O. No. 1367-1426 CB 100-23-1 dt 27th Oct: 1923. (Page: 23 of RA and L. Manual Vol I)

G 17/7

12. The scale of establ. of a Taluk office is determined by the class to which the Taluk belongs according to App. A. to G.O. G 13-7-18 The same classification has been adopted as the basis for fixing the number of reports to be returned by each Taluk & all

T

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0321—GPS—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

R.A File No. 47 of 1928.

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Received on
0. JUL 1928
Ref. No. 482

1st Class Talukas (except Borsad & Petlad) allowed to return 3 members and the 2nd & 3rd Class Talukas 2 members to the managing of a 2nd Class Taluka according to this Classification.

18/7

Secy)

3rd item V.

15 Submitted with reference to para 7

8/7

14 I think the request cannot be complied with. It is desirable to examine & record, if necessary, the representations allowed to the various local units & interests and not more than one in a year. (The life of two Assemblies)

Dewan.

19.7.28

8/

19.7

15 I agree. The rule to remain, otherwise a fresh representation from others.

21-7-28

Draft Submitted

23/7

8/

23/7

17) Secy)

18) 3rd item V.

24/7

8/ 24.7.

1038/25

Mil. S. R. A. Draft

23/7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

4th Rel (5

R.A. File No. 47 of 1928

Serial No.

1) Please put up draft for ~~the~~ ~~substituted~~ cancelling the principles governing rewards given by [illegible] 28/7/28

1224/28/7

Mr. S. 91 A 26/7

20) Draft submitted for approval. 26/7

21) Please put up a typed draft as revised. 26/7

22) Typed draft submitted for approval 27/7

23) Seen 27/7

24) Draft may be approved 28/7

25) Please sum up. I should like to see a list of additions, alterations made by the Committee & the non-representatives made hitherto. 28/7

1346/2/8

26) A revised draft as per Member's personal instructions is submitted. 30/7 1/8

27) Seen 1/8

28) Issue. 3/8

29) Please note the reminder laid down for further instructions 4/8 3/8

P.S. office.

A.S.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

ISSUE & SUBMIT, for FURTHER ACTION, Mr. 91.S.P.

GPB—5,000—30-8-27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

A&A File No. 47 of 1928

6

Serial No. 2

To The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt.

Re. Rc 44/DC 4.8.28.

States that the adequacy of the representation now allowed to the Urban local units, and special interests will be examined when reconstituting the Assembly next & that the resolution of the Dt. Board will be considered in that connection.

Draft issued & the file transmitted as directed in para 29. Supra

31/ For noting the principle for future guidance it is for consideration whether it is not necessary to issue any standing orders or publish any notification to the effect that the representation of the several units on the A.A. should be examined etc. once in six years, or whether the Dy. comms. and others should be circularized.

At least a copy of the letter to the Dy. Comr. of Mysore might be sent to each of the other Dy. Commissioners also, if approved.

Note of the above Courses seems to be intended. It will be enough if the office notes the principle & takes action when occasion arises.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

SPB—5,000—30-3-27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

R/f File No: 47 of 1928

7

Serial No.

1622/9/28

1) No general circular seems necessary at present.

Each case may be examined when they arise.

3rd Member

2) No general circular necessary—

Officers will bear in mind the principle that no question should be raised in sufficient time for Examination results even before the next general Election is ordered.

9.8.28

10.8.

Note 8/10

1/10/28

H. C.

8/8 13/8

3) Extract from the orders of the 3rd Member of Council in R/f file 23—29 (which was subsequently approved by the Dewan)

"A general re-examination of the classification of talukas may be deferred till after the next census."

(K.T.) Tkm

4/10/29

4) Submitted.

In view of the above order, may this file, and the 2 linked files be kept in Bus. till after the next census is over.

16/11

16/11/29

GPB—3,000—30-3-27

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Kl. 125
4 Pc C

R. A File No 47 of 1928

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Kl. 125.

40 The question that is for consideration in this file is increasing from 2 to 3, the number of members to be returned to the R from the Chamarajnagar, Yedatore, ~~Mad~~ Mudigere and Tarikere taluks. This was reserved for consideration at the time of the proposed general re-examination of the classification of taluks, after the Census (1931) figures became available. The Census has just taken place and it will be some time before all the taluk figures can be tabulated & got ready. If apply, the Census Supdt will be addressed in the matter early in May next

Kl 2181 11e/3

13/3/31

16

Sirs B Hill 1-5-31

BIM

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

Govt No. R 5769-528/L.R 11E-29-13 dt 8th April 1931 constitutes a new taluk with headquarters at Maddur.

43 The question of recognising the Maddur taluk as a rural constituency for returning a member or members to the R.A is for orders. The present strength of the Assembly is 273. The maximum strength is 275.

Dy 1-5-31 44 Is it imperative under the rules that the taluq should as such be represented now alone or can we wait till the next elections?

NSG 1/5

45 The rules do not seem to contain any provision in regard to this point. It is for the Govt to decide when to accord representation to this (new) rural area.

46 Reference is invited to the notes in the accompanying file wherein it is proposed to re-examine the classification of talukas (for purposes of representation on the R.A) after the 1931 Census figures become available. It is for consideration if the question of the Maddur taluk also may not be taken up in that connection.

Regarding a proposal to increase the number of the representatives of Tekamaragiagar, Yedatore, Mudigere & Sakleshpur talukas.

Dy

47 Are the census figures now available?

NSG 2/5

Dy 2/5/31

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50000—GPB—8-7 30

10

R/A File No. 47 of 1928.

F.X 14.

General and Revenue Secretariat

it seems rather early to expect the final census figures (pertaining to rural and urban areas) now alone. If ordered, the enquiries might well be addressed in the matter & the point cleared

D.O. 5/5/31.

4) The consideration of the question will, be taken up after Census figures become available. For Perusal Secy)

28/5

To Member 5/5 D.O. 6/5.

28/6/5

5) Yes. Any change will have effect for the next election.

7/5/31

5-2 D.O. 7/5.

28/8/5

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
7 MAY 1931
No. 9822

9.5.31

4

T C R A 47-28-11

No. 54 [illegible]27

OFFICE OF THE [illegible] REPRESENTATIVE AND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
9. JUL 1928

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated Mysore, 4th July 1928.

6

From

A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Ex-Officio *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalare.
Representative Assembly
and Legislative Council.

SUBJECT:—

Election - 3 members to Representative Assembly from the Chamaranajagar Taluk , Mysore District.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that the District Board, Mysore has forwarded a copy of resolution passed at the conference held in 1927 ~~[illegible]~~ for necessary action and recommend that 3 members may be returned to Representative Assembly instead of two as at present from the Chamarajanagar Taluk. The Amildar was requested to furnish his opinion in the matter and he reports that in view of the extensive area and dense population of the Taluk, it is very desirable to increase the number of members to be returned to 3.

The Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud concurs with the opinion of the Amildar,

In these circumstances, I recommend that Government may be pleased to sanction the election of one member to the Representative Assembly from the Chamarajanagar Taluk.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deput, Commissioner,

Mysore District.

(In-charge)

12

DRAFT.

47-28-2

No. Rel 44/-R.A[illegible] 4.8.1928.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.D.4-4105/27 dated 4/6th July 1928 recommending a resolution of the District Board of Mysore for increasing the number of members to be returned to the Representative Assembly from the Chamarajnagar Taluk from two to three, I am directed to state that the adequacy of the representation now allowed to the variou local units and special interests will be examined when re-constituting the Assembly next and that the resolution of the Distric Board will be considered in that connection.

I have, &c.,

for
Secy. to Govt.,
Law Dept.